

Family Name	Brierley
Given Name	Judith
Person ID	1286775
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Brierley
Given Name	Judith
Person ID	1286775
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Norden and Bamford proposal is for 450 executive homes. This does not fulfill "affordable homes" criteria. They are to be built on greenfield sites some areas of which regularly flood so does not fulfil the brownfield site or risk of flooding criteria. As greenfield sites the biodiversity will be ruined by building on it and seriously reduce access to nature and the well-being benefits that brings to everyone.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	It should not go ahead.
Family Name	Brierley
Given Name	Judith
Person ID	1286775
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Green Belt: The site fails with PfE objects times 7&8, and 6 out of the 7 site selection criteria. It is not consistent with sustainable development and NPPF Chapter 13. There is no unmet housing need in Rochdale to justify building on green belt land. Many other reasonable brown field sites options are available for affordable housing if there is a justifiable Rochdale shortage.</p> <p>The site fails to comply with PfE 7 and NPPF chapters 2 and 9.</p> <p>The existing road infrastructure is already congested and adding to air pollution and an additional 900 vehicles will bring immense pressure to already jammed, dangerous roads. Planning to alter the traffic flow to a one-way system is not well thought out as the majority of exiting traffic would have to travel down War Office Road or through the Marlett Avenue estate. Both these residential areas will suffer greatly from noise and poor air pollution as well as increasing road traffic versus pedestrian accidents.</p> <p>The site fails to comply with PfE Objective 9 and NPPF Chapter 8 para 95.</p> <p>We are encouraged to walk children to school but that pleasant task would be dangerously effected for families walking to Bamford Primary School, St Michaels Primary School and Oulder Hill Secondary School. These schools are full and over subscribed most academic years and, as there is no proposal to add to these existing schools or build new ones children would have to travel way out of the area - resulting in more traffic issues.</p> <p>The site fails to comply with objective 2 and is not consistent with NPPF Chapter 14.</p> <p>As a local resident I regular see and have to drive through flooded areas of Norden Road. This flooding risk will only increase if more concrete and tarmac is laid on the green field sites.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I request, for the above reasons, that JPA19 Norden/Bamford to be REMOVED from the PfE.